

Teli M. S. \*, Sawant S. S., Patil A.R., Ravetkar A. S. and Shirote P. J.

Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Appasaheb Birnale College of Pharmacy, Sangli-416 416. (M.S., India.)

### Abstract

A simple, rapid and sensitive HPLC method has been developed for the simultaneous determination of Ramipril and Hydrochlorothiazide in their dosage forms. Acetonitrile: Phosphate buffer (0.01 M) adjusted to pH 2.6 with Ophosphoric acid, was used as the mobile phase. A CHROMO Sil C-8 (4.6\*250 mm) column was utilized as stationary phase. Detection was affected spectrophotometrically at 210 nm. The method was also applied for the determination of Ramipril in the presence of its degradation products. Linearity ranges for Ramipril and Hydrochlorothiazide were 10-50 and 10-150 mg/ml, respectively. The proposed method was further applied to the analysis of tablets containing the two drugs, the percentage recoveries S.D. ( $n_5$ ) were 99.66% and, 99.63% for Ramipril and Hydrochlorothiazide respectively.

Keywords: Ramipril, Hydrochlorothiazide, High Performance Liquid Chromatography, Limit of Quantification, Limit of Detection.

## Introduction

Ramipril,2-[N-[(S)-1-(ethoxycarbonyl)-3-phenylpropyl)]-L-alanyl]-(1S,3S,5S)-2-azabicyclo[3-30] octan ecarboxylic acid, is an angiotensin-convertingenzyme (ACE) inhibitor. It acts on the renin–angiotensin aldosterone system. It inhibits the conversion of the inactive angiotensin I to the highly potent vasoconstrictor, angiotensin II, and also reduce the degradation of bradykinin. Hydrochlorothiazide,6-chloro-3,4-dihydro-2H-1,2,4-benzothia-zine-7-sulphonamide-1,1-dioxideis a thiazide diuretic. It increases sodium and chloride excretion by distal convolated tubule.

Literature survey reveals few analytical methods for the determination of Ramipril in pharmaceutical preparations and biological fluids, viz., radioimmunoassay, spectrophotometry, and HPLC. Ramipril is frequently co-formulated with hydrochlorothiazide in a medicinally recommended ratio of 1:5. Analysis of such mixture is challenging, because Ramipril (the minor component) is poorly absorbing light in the UV region (The value ofA1%:1 cm at 257 nm is \_8), while Hydrochlorothiazide (the major component) is strongly absorbing light in the UV region (value of A1%:1 cm at 272nm is \_644). The aim of this work is to develop a simple, rapid, sensitive and reliable HPLC assay procedure for the quality control of Ramipril and Hydrochlorothiazide in pharmaceutical preparations.<sup>1-2</sup>

# Material and methods<sup>3-8</sup>

## **Reagents and Standards**

Ramipril hcl and Hydrochlorothiazide standards were obtained from FDC and Lupin Laboratory, Mumbai, India. HPLC-grade methanol, Acetonitrile and formulation containing Ramipril and Hydrochlorothiazide (CARDACE–H tablets) were used. The labelled Ramipril and Hydrochlorothiazide content of each tablet was 5 mg and 12.5 mg, respectively.

\* Corresponding Author:

Mob. +91 9960969387 E-mail: telimanvendra@gmail.com

IJPLS, 1(6):325-335

## Selection of stationary phase<sup>8</sup>

On the basis of RP-HPLC mode and number of carbon present in the molecule CROMOSIL C-8 (4.6\*250 mm) column was selected for further study.

## Selection of mobile phase<sup>9-11</sup>

It was found that Acetonitrile and 0.01M Potassium dihydrogen phosphate buffer ( $P^{H}$ -2.6) gives satisfactory results as compared to other mobile phases. Finally, the optimal composition of the mobile phase was determined to be 0.01M phosphate buffer solution: Acetonitrile (50:50 v/v,  $P^{H}$  2.6). This mobile phase produced good resolution, reasonable retention times and acceptable peak symmetry for both the drugs.

#### **Preparation of mobile phase**

Phosphate buffer 0.01 M solution was prepared by dissolving accurately about 1.369 gms of potassium dihydrogen phosphate in a 1000 ml of glass double distilled water. Mobile phase was prepared by mixing 250 ml of 0.01M potassium dihydrogen phosphate solution with 250 ml of Acetonitrile and its  $P^{H}$  is adjusted to 2.6 by ortho phosphoric acid. This mobile phase was ultrasonicated for 20 min, and then it was filtered through 0.45  $\mu$ m Nylon, 47 mm membrane filter paper.

#### **Preparation of standard stock solution**

Accurately about 50 mg of each of reference standard of Hydrochlorthiazide and Ramipril 20mg was weighed and transferred to 50ml volumetric flask. Both drugs were dissolved in 50ml of mobile phase with shaking and then volume was made up to the mark with mobile phase to get  $1000\mu g/ml \& 400 \mu g/ml$  of standard stock solution of each drug. These stock solutions were filtered through 0.2µm Nylon 6, 13 mm membrane filter paper.5 ml of above stock solution was then pipette out in 50 ml volumetric flask and diluted up to the mark with methanol to get 100 µg/ml & 40 µg/ml Hydrochlorthiazide & Ramipril respectively

#### Selection of analytical wavelength

Each solution was scanned using double beam UV visible spectrophotometer in the spectrum mode between the wavelength range of 400 nm to 200 nm and their spectra was overlaid. The wavelength selected was 210 nm (Graph 1).



#### **Chromatographic condition**

Using the optimized mobile phase, the flow rate was set to 1.0 ml/min and UV detection was carried out at 210 nm. The mobile phase and samples were degassed by ultrasonic vibrations for 20 min and filtered through  $0.45\mu m$  Nylon, 47 mm membrane filter paper. The peak areas were plotted against the corresponding concentrations to obtain the standard calibration curves.

**IJPLS, 1(6):325-335** 

Teli et al., Oct., 2010

**Research Article** 

Standard calibration curves for Hydrochlorthiazide & Ramipril are shown in fig. The Lambert- Beer's law was obeyed in the concentration range of 75-150  $\mu$ g/ml &10-50 for Hydrochlorthiazide and Ramipril respectively. The linearity of calibration graphs and adherence of the system to Beer's law was validated by high value of correlation coefficient and also standard deviation (S.D.) for intercept value was less than 2 % (Graph 2).

Parameter	Hdrochlorthiazi de	Ramipril
Tailing factor	1.25	1.5
Resolution (Rs)	3.8	
Separation factor	1.857	
Capacity factor	2.07	4.15
Theoretical plates (N)	2541	1570
Retention time	2.583	3.550

Table 1: System suitability parameters

#### **Results and Conclusion**

**Evaluation of analytical method** <sup>12-16</sup>

### Linearity

Suitable dilutions using mobile phase were made from the standard stock solutions containing 1000  $\mu$ g/ml of Hydrochlorthiazide and 400  $\mu$ g/ml of Ramipril, to prepare range of standard solutions of five different concentrations of analyte for further experimental work (Graph 3, 4). Five replicates of each concentration were injected. The linearity of the relationship between peak area and concentration was determined by analyzing five working standards over the concentration range 50-150  $\mu$ g/ml for Hydrochlorthiazide and 10-50  $\mu$ g/ml for Ramipril. The results obtained are shown in table 2,3,4,5 respectively.



Graph3: Calibration table for Hydrochlorthiazide

**Graph 4: Calibration table for Ramipril** 

IJPLS, 1(6):325-335

Teli et al., Oct., 2010

 Table 4: Calibration table for Ramipril

# ISSN: 0976-7126

Concentration Hydrochlorthiazide (mcg/ml)	Area under curve (AUC)
0	
	0
50	
	3286457
75	
	4983295
100	
	6586457
125	
	8354967
150	
	9889564

Table 2:	Calibration	table for	Hvdrochlorthiazide

Concentration Area under curve Ramipril (AUC) (mcg/ml) 0 0 10 438557 20 865426 30 1281355 40 1663454 50 2101153

## Table 3: Linearity of Hydrochlorthiazide

Standard	50µg/ml	75µg/ml	100µg/ml	125µg/ml	150µg/ml
Come					
Conc.→					
Replicates			Peak area	<u> </u>	
_↓					
1	3256455	4926784	6563549	8209546	9838645
2	3279649	4952489	6558645	8216894	9847582
3	3249856	4918645	6576548	8225464	9865485
4	3291254	4932594	6569548	8245978	9856544
5	3284198	4968754	6585494	8256498	9874865
Mean	3261987	4932639	6566247	8217301	9850571
SD	15647.85	17665.44	9251.492	7966.814	13667.31
%RSD	0.479703	0.358134	0.140895	0.096952	0.138746

IJPLS, 1(6):325-335

Standard	10 µg/ml	20 µg/ml	30 µg/ml	40 µg/ml	50 μg/ml				
Conc. $\rightarrow$									
Replicates			Peak are	a					
1									
¥									
1	438957	865626	1281655	1663554	2105123				
-	130757	000020	1201000	1002221	2100120				
2	438148	865247	1282456	1665628	2106489				
3	437956	865426	1281356	1664562	2107495				
4	438236	865048	1283659	1662544	2109856				
-	430230	005040	1203037	1002344	2107030				
5	120555	065015	1201600	1661472	2100564				
5	438333	803843	1281099	10014/5	2109304				
Mean	438353	865433	1281822	1664581	2106369				
witcuit	100000	000 100	1201022	100 1201	210050)				
SD	531.2479	189.5969	568.7709	1037.135	1190.544				
~ 2									
%RSD	0.121192	0.021908	0.044372	0.062306	0.056521				

 Table 5: Linearity of Ramipril

### Precision

One set of three different concentrations of combined working standard solution of Ramipril and Hydrochlorthiazide were prepared. All the solutions were analyzed thrice, in order to record any intra-day variation in the result. The result obtained for intra-day variations are shown in the table no.6 and 7. For inter-day variation study, three different concentrations of the combined standards were analyzed for three days. The result obtained for inter-day variations are shown in the table no.8 and 9.

Table 6: Intra-day variability of H	ydrochlorthiazide

Conc	Peak area						
(µg/ml)	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 3	Mean	SD	% RSD	
75	4926784	4952489	4918645	4932639	17665.44	0.358134	
100	6563549	6558645	6576548	6566247	9251.492	0.140895	
125	8209546	8216894	8225464	8217301	7966.814	0.096952	

IJPLS, 1(6):325-335

Conc. (µg/ml)	Peak area			Mean	SD	% RSD
	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 3			
20	865626	865247	865426	865433	189.59	0.022
30	1281655	1282456	1281356	1281822	568.77	0.045
40	1663554	1665628	1664562	1664581	1037.1	0.062

 Table 7: Intra-day variability of Ramipril

## Table 8: Inter-day variability of Hydrochlorthiazide

Conc.	Peak area		Mean	SD	% RSD	
(µg/m)	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3			
75	4918645	4932594	4968754	4939998	25861.92	0.523521
100	6576548	6569548	6585494	6577197	7992.766	0.121522
125	8225464	8245978	8256498	8242647	15782.92	0.191479

## Table 9: Inter-day variability of Ramipril

Conc. (µg/ml)	c. Peak area ml)			Mean	SD	% RSD
	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 3			
20	865426	865048	865845	865439.7	398.67	0.046
30	1281356	1283659	1281699	1282238	1242.5	0.097
40	1664562	1662544	1661473	1662860	1568.5	0.094

### Accuracy

To check the accuracy of proposed method, level of recovery carried out at 80, 100 and 120 % of the concentration as per standard addition method. To perform recovery studies of the test concentration, a powder of pre analysed tablet sample containing 5 mg of Ramipril and 12.50 mg of Hydrochlorthiazide was weighed then transferred into 100 ml volumetric flask, add about 100 ml of mobile phase and sonicated for 20 minutes with intermediate shaking and volume make up to the mark take 8 ml solution in 10 ml volumetric and make up volume. 40  $\mu$ g/ml and 100  $\mu$ g/ml of Ramipril & Hydrochlorthiazide pure drugs were used as standard concentrations, finally % recovery was calculated and results and statistical validation are shown in table 10, 11.

**IJPLS, 1(6):325-335** 

Tablet sample	Level of recovery (%)	Amo pres (μg/	ount sent 'ml )	Amt of std. added ( µg/ml )		Total amount recovered ( µg/ml )		% Re	covery
C		RAM	HTZ	RAM	HTZ	RAM	HTZ	RAM	HTZ
	80	40	100	32	80	71.87	178.49	99.81	99.16
R	80	40	100	32	80	71.53	179.38	99.34	99.71
D	80	40	100	32	80	71.67	178.59	99.54	99.21
A	100	40	100	40	100	79.88	198.64	99.85	99.32
C	100	40	100	40	100	79.66	199.21	99.57	99.32
E	100	40	100	40	100	79.91	198.68	99.88	99.60
-	120	40	100	48	120	87.90	219.87	99.88	99.34
Н	120	40	100	48	120	87.81	218.98	99.78	99.53
	120	40	100	48	120	87.88	219.57	99.84	99.80

### Table 10: Recovery studies

### **Table 11: Statistical validation**

Tablet Sample	Type of recovery %	(%) Mean		SD		SEM	
		RAM	HTZ	RAM	HTZ	RAM	HTZ
	80	99.56	99.36	0.306	0.304	0.346	0.3061
CARDACE -H	100	99.66	99.42	0.158	0.151	0.157	0.158
	120	99.81	99.75	0.208	0.208	0.208	0.214

### Limit of detection (LOD)

LOD is calculated from the formula



 $\sigma$  = Standard deviation of the response, S= slope of the calibration curve Ramipril- 0.07 µg/ml Hydrochlorthiazide – 1.25 µg/ml

#### **Limit of quantification (LOQ)**

LOQ is calculated from the formula



 $\begin{array}{ll} \sigma = Standard \ deviation \ of the response, \ S= slope \ of the calibration \ curve \\ Ramipril & 0.21 \mu g/ml \\ Hydrochlorthiazide - & 3.5 \ \mu g/ml \end{array}$ 

IJPLS, 1(6):325-335

Teli et al., Oct., 2010

**Research Article** 

### Range

The range shown by ramipril and hydrochlorthiazide is given as  $10 - 50 \mu g/ml$ ,  $10 - 150 \mu g/ml$  respectively.

#### **Specificity**

A blend of commonly used excipients was treated as per developed procedure and the chromatogram showed no inferring peaks at retention time of the both drugs.

## Stability study of Ramipril and Hydrochlorthiazide tablets as per ICH guidelines<sup>17-20</sup>

To carry out the stability study of CARDACE-H tablets, 80 tablets were procured from market. 4 strips each were stored in Programmable environmental test chamber calibrated previously and labelled as

- ➤ 30°C and 65% RH (serial no. ICH-2530)
- ➢ 40°C and 75% RH (serial no. ICH-2531)

Initial testing (at  $0^{th}$  month) of tablet was done before keeping the strip for both intermediate and accelerated stability testing. Further testing was done at the end of each month for six months as per ICH guidelines.

The physical parameters such as hardness, friability and disintegration time were checked and the content of Ramipril and Hydrochlorthiazide was determined by carrying out assay by HPLC method at the end of each month for six months as per ICH guidelines.

#### Assay by HPLC of Cardace-H

Weigh powder equivalent to 5mg and 12.5 mg of Ramipril and Hydrochlorthiazide transferred to 100 ml volumetric flask. Sufficient amount of mobile phase was added to dissolve the content. Then it was sonicated, cool to room temperature and then volume was made up to the mark with mobile phase. Withdrawing 8 ml of this solution and diluted to 10 ml with mobile phase. Sample was filtered through 0.2  $\mu$  nylon membrane filter and injected into the HPLC column.(table 12,13),(Graph 5,6,7,8).

The stability study was carried out for a period of six months at  $30^{\circ}$ C & 65% RH and  $40^{\circ}$ C & 75% RH for CARDACE-H, which shows that both Ramipril and Hydrochlorthiazide were found to be stable and comply with IP and BP limits respectively upto 6<sup>th</sup> month. There is no effect of elevated temperature and humidity on physical stability of product but the assay result showed that the chemical stability of drug has negligible effect.



Graph 5: Assay of CARDACE-H stored at 30°C and 65% RH by HPLC



Graph 6: Assay of CARDACE-H stored at 40°C and 75% RH by HPLC



Graph 7: Loss of active ingredient from tablet stored at 30°C/65% RH

Graph 8: % Loss of active ingredient from tablet stored at 40°C/75% RH

Month	Amount present (mg)		Amount found (mg)		Assay (%)		% Loss of active ingredient	
	RAM	HTZ	RAM	HTZ	RAM	HTZ	RAM	HTZ
0 <sup>th</sup> month	5	12.5	5.09	12.53	101.82	100.28	-	-
1 <sup>st</sup> month	5	12.5	5.07	12.51	101.40	100.18	0.42	0.18
2 <sup>nd</sup> month	5	12.5	5.04	12.48	100.84	99.88	0.98	0.4
3 <sup>rd</sup> month	5	12.5	4.95	12.47	99.90	99.78	1.92	0.5
4 <sup>th</sup> month	5	12.5	4.92	12.45	99.42	99.65	2.4	0.6
5 <sup>th</sup> month	5	12.5	4.91	12.32	99.32	99.61	2.5	1.67
6 <sup>th</sup> month	5	12.5	4.91	12.28	99.28	99.20	2.54	2.02

Table 12: Assay of	CARDACE-H stored at	t 30°C and 65% RH I	ov HPLC
			-

IJPLS, 1(6):325-335

Month	Amount present (mg)		Amount found (mg)		Assay (%)		% Loss of active ingredient	
	RAM	HTZ	RAM	HTZ	RAM	HTZ	RAM	HTZ
0 <sup>th</sup> month	5	12.5	5.08	12.51	101.78	100.38	-	-
1 <sup>st</sup> month	5	12.5	5.06	12.47	101.32	100.08	0.46	0.3
2 <sup>nd</sup> month	5	12.5	5.03	12.45	100.72	99.78	1.06	0.6
3 <sup>rd</sup> month	5	12.5	5.006	12.44	100.12	99.65	2.08	0.73
4 <sup>th</sup> month	5	12.5	4.98	12.34	99.77	99.54	2.36	1.84
5 <sup>th</sup> month	5	12.5	4.97	12.30	98.42	99.43	2.44	1.95
6 <sup>th</sup> month	5	12.5	4.98	12.27	98.81	99.23	2.57	2.13

Table 13: Assay of CARDACE-H stored at 40°C and 75% RH by HPLC

## Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to Principal of the institute for providing necessary facilities.

## References

- Indian Pharmacopoeia (1996). Government of India Ministry of Health and Family Welfare; Controller of Publications, Delhi, 4<sup>th</sup> ed., Vol. I, 459-60
- 2. British Pharmacopoeia (2008). The Stationary Office, London, Vol. III, 2864-5, 3132-3
- 3. Willard H.H., Merritt L.L., Dean J.A. and Settle F.A. (2001). *Instrumental methods of analysis*. 7<sup>th</sup> ed. CBS Publishers and Distributors, Delhi, 513-537, 580-599.
- 4. Kromidas S. (2006). *HPLC made to measure: A practical handbook for optimisation*. Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH and Co. KGaA, 1-22.
- 5. Snyder L.R. (2001). *Practical HPLC method development*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1-97, 161-168.
- 6. Swadesh J.K. (2001). HPLC practical and industrial applications. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. CRC Press, 8, 9, 22-25.
- 7. Skoog D.A., Holler E.J. and Nieman T.A. (1998). *Principles of instrumental analysis*. 5<sup>th</sup> ed. Thomson Asia Pvt. Ltd., Singapore, 725-741.
- 8. Becket A.H. and Stenlake J.B. (2001). *Practical pharmaceutical chemistry*. 4<sup>th</sup> ed. Part- 2,157-167.
- 9. Erk N. (2003). Journal Chromatography Technology, Biomed Life Sci., 784(1):195-201.
- 10. Kanumula G.V. and Bhanu Raman (2000). Indian Drugs, 37(1): 38-41.
- 11. VeerasekaranV., Katakdhond S.J., Kadam S.S. and Rao J.R. (2001). Indian Drugs, 38(4): 187-189.

IJPLS, 1(6):325-335

Teli et al., Oct., 2010

- 12. Patil Priyanka R, Pandurang Rakesh and Dhabale N. (2009). Simultaneous estimation of ramipril and amlodipine by UV Spectrophoto metric method. *Research J. Pharm. and Tech.*, **2**(2).
- 13. Belal F., Belal I. A., Al-Zaagi E. A., Gadkariem M.A. and Abounassif A. (2001). Stability-indicating LC method for the simultaneous determination of ramipril and hydrochlorothiazide in dosage Forms. *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*, **24**:335–342.
- 14. Barry L. Hogan, Williams Mark, Idiculla Anna, Veysoglu Tarik and Parente Ernest (2000). Development and validation of a liquid chromatographic method for the determination of the related substances of ramipril in Altace capsules. *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*, **23**:637–651.
- 15. Bankey S., Tapadiya G.G., Saboo S.S, Bindaiya S. and Deepti (2009). Simultaneous determination of ramipril, hydrochlorothizide and losartan by spectrophotometry. *International Journal of ChemTech Research*, **1(2)**:183-188.
- 16. Zarapaker S.S.and Rane S.H. (2003). Indian Drugs, 37(12):589-593.
- 17. Swarbik Martin J. and Cammarata A. (1994). *Physical Pharmacy*, 4<sup>th</sup> edition, Lea and Febiger, Philadelphia, 285-316.
- Rudnic E.M. and Schwartz J.D. (2000). *Remington: The science and practice of pharmacy*. Gennaro AR, Marderosian A.H.D., Hasan G.R. and Medwick T., editors, Stability of pharmaceutical products, 20<sup>th</sup> ed., 882-85.
- 19. Lachman L., Liberman H.A. and Kanig J.A. (1987). *Theory and practice of industrial pharmacy*, 3rd ed., Verghese, Publications, Mumbai, 296-303.
- 20. Kuchekar B.S. SHANUPRO software. Studies on development of methods for drug analysis. Department of Chemical Technology, Aurangabad.